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The mechanistic/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway plays a critical role in cellular metabolism, growth, and proliferation and has been evaluated as a target for therapy in various malignancies. The mTOR pathway is a major tumor-initiating pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma, with up-regulation seen in up to 50% of tumors. Metformin, which represses mTOR signaling by activating adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase, has been shown to decrease liver carcinogenesis in population studies. mTOR inhibitors such as everolimus have been evaluated as adjunctive chemotherapy with some success, although efficacy has been limited by the lack of complete mTOR pathway inhibition. The active site mTOR inhibitors hold greater promise, given that they offer complete mTOR suppression. There is also evidence of mTOR pathway activation in cholangiocarcinoma, although its biological significance in initiating and promoting tumor progression remains ambiguous. This review provides an overview of the complex biochemistry behind the mTOR pathway and its role in carcinogenesis, especially as it pertains to hepatic malignancies. (HEPATOLOGY 2013;58:810-818)

The mTOR Pathway and Its Relevance to Carcinogenesis

The liver is the principal organ regulating body metabolism, and the mTOR pathway is a key regulator of cellular metabolism. The mTOR pathway integrates upstream growth, metabolic and mitogenic signals that effect downstream activation of a broad array of intracellular processes. The mTOR pathway plays an important role in insulin resistance, type II diabetes, adipogenesis, angiogenesis, and tumor development.1 In addition to potent antifungal and immunosuppressive properties, mTOR inhibitors strongly suppress cellular proliferation, making them attractive anticancer agents.

mTOR is a large, 289-kDa protein that forms the nucleus of two functionally distinct multiprotein complexes, mTORC1 (mTOR complex 1) and mTORC2 (mTOR complex 2).2 References to mTOR in the literature predominantly pertain to the activity of mTORC1, which is sensitive to sirolimus (the official name for rapamycin). mTORC1 associates with at least four other proteins: mammalian lethal with SEC13 protein 8 (mLST8), regulatory-associated protein of mTOR (Raptor), proline-rich AKT substrate of 40 kDa (PRAS40), and DEP domain–containing mTOR-interacting protein (Deptor). There is evidence to suggest that the latter two proteins inhibit mTORC1 complex activity. The best-studied and characterized substrates of mTORC1 are S6 kinase (S6K) and 4E-BPs (eukaryotic translation initiation factor–binding proteins 4E-BP1, 4E-BP2, and 4E-BP3), which control cell growth and proliferation (Fig. 1).

The 4E-BPs are key proteins that regulate translation initiation through their binding to eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E). When phosphorylated, 4E-BPs dissociate from eIF4E, which is then free to bind the 5′ cap of messenger RNAs (mRNAs). eIF4E is critical to global protein synthesis, and is a crucial effector of translation of various malignancy-associated mRNAs. It promotes the production of pro-oncogenic proteins involved in cell cycle progression, proto-oncogenes (c-myc, vascular endothelial growth factor [VEGF]), angiogenesis, cell survival, autocrine growth stimulation, communication with the extracellular environment, and invasion.3 The Ras pathway also contributes to activation of eIF4E, given that its signaling cascade culminates in stimulation of...
Mnk, a kinase that phosphorylates eIF4E. The Ras and Akt signaling pathways, two principal effectors of carcinogenesis, thereby converge on eIF4E, which reflects its pivotal role in tumor development. eIF4E is overexpressed in various malignancies and is being studied as a prognostic marker and therapeutic target in cancer. The mTORC2 complex is implicated in cellular functions such as protein synthesis, autophagy and metabolism, and actin cytoskeleton reorganization. Much of the biology of the mTORC2 complex remains to be defined.

The mTOR pathway integrates signals from pro-oncogenic growth factors such as insulin growth factor 1 and VEGF as well as from various cytokines. Cellular energy levels, cellular stress, hypoxia, and DNA damage also modulate the mTOR pathway. These upstream signals activate phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), which in turn up-regulates the protein kinase Akt through the second messenger phosphatidylinositol trisphosphate and subsequently mTOR. In the context of low cellular energy levels, an increased AMP/ATP ratio activates adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase (AMPK), which thereby inhibits the mTOR pathway. Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) is a tumor suppressor protein that also negatively regulates the mTOR pathway (Fig. 1).

With respect to carcinogenesis at the cellular level, mTOR signaling promotes cell growth and proliferation by up-regulating anabolic processes such as protein, glycogen, lipid, and organelle synthesis and down-regulating catabolic processes such as autophagy. Insulin treatment triggers mTORC1 activation of sterol regulatory element binding protein 1 (SREBP-1) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ), key transcription factors in lipid and cholesterol biosynthesis. Cancer cell proliferation is typically associated with de novo lipid synthesis: SREBP-1 induces lipid synthesis, which is needed for the membrane synthesis that enables cancer cell proliferation. Sirolimus as an mTORC1 inhibitor decreases the amounts of many SREBP-1 target genes such as acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase and fatty acid synthase and down-regulates PPARγ expression. It has therefore been postulated that sirolimus may reduce carcinogenesis through inhibition of lipid and protein synthesis.

As tumors develop, they encounter significant stressors that impede their ability to grow. Genotoxic stressors in general induce DNA damage, which stimulates the mTORC1 inhibitor AMPK, thereby enabling...
apoptosis. Although hypoxic conditions are the norm in rapidly growing cancers and hypoxia decreases mTOR signaling, cancer cells appear to circumvent the hypoxia-mediated mTOR limitation via preferential translation of hypoxia inducible factor and VEGF A by an mTOR-independent mechanism. This confers hypoxia tolerance and restores control over protein synthesis and cell survival, particularly in more advanced tumors.

Up-regulation of the mTOR pathway is seen in approximately 70% of all types of cancers. The importance of the mTOR pathway in carcinogenesis is further underscored by the presence of mutations along the mTOR pathway in familial cancer syndromes. Examples of such syndromes include Cowden syndrome (loss of PTEN), Peutz-Jegher syndrome (loss of LKB1, an activator of AMPK that in turn inhibits the mTOR pathway), tuberous sclerosis, and lymphangioleiomyomatosis (loss of TSC1 or TSC2).

The mTOR Pathway in Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Liver disease occurs as a result of complex insults, including viral hepatitis, alcohol, and lipotoxicity. Liver cell death in these conditions occurs via apoptosis, necrosis, or a combination of the two. Carcinogenesis is thought to occur as a result of mutations acquired in the context of rapid cell turnover triggered by these insults. Both processes, acquisition of genetic lesions and cell turnover, are required for development of liver cancer (Fig. 2). mTOR as a survival pathway has been suggested to modulate apoptosis through eIF4E by up-regulating the translation of antiapoptotic mRNAs, such as Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Mcl-1. Inhibition of S6K1, the other branch downstream of mTOR, unexpectedly prevented hepatocyte apoptosis, as demonstrated in an in vivo model of S6K1 knockout mice. This is likely due to a loss of the negative feedback of S6K1 on Akt and hence the mTOR pathway. Nonetheless, this report proved further that the mTOR pathway is essential for hepatocyte cell survival. Mouse models of gene knockouts of components upstream of mTOR have demonstrated the importance of mTOR in liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy. Given its importance in both cell survival and proliferation, it is not surprising that mTOR appears to play a pivotal role in hepatic carcinogenesis. The mTOR pathway is aberrantly up-regulated in up to
50% of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) tumors, as determined by integrating data from direct sequencing, DNA copy number changes, mRNA levels, and immunohistochemistry in a large human HCC tissue sample cohort. \( ^{18} \) Increased mTOR signaling occurs downstream of receptor tyrosine kinase signaling cascades such as those initiated by insulin growth factor or epidermal growth factor. The importance of mTOR in hepatocarcinogenesis has been further shown in a mouse model with a liver-specific knockout of Tsc1: the resulting chronic mTOR activation led to sporadic and sequential development of histological features associated with HCC (liver damage, inflammation, necrosis, and regeneration). \( ^{19} \) PTEN, the tumor suppressor that inhibits the mTOR pathway, is inactivated in approximately half of HCC tumors. \( ^{20} \) A transgenic hepatocyte-specific PTEN-deficient mouse model exhibited histological features of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) at 40 weeks, with adenomas developing in 60% of mice and HCCs in 100% of mice by 80 weeks of age. \( ^{20} \) An additional study has supported the concept of the mTOR pathway enabling the transition from NASH-related cirrhosis to HCC. \( ^{21} \) Aberrant lipogenesis was increasingly seen in a spectrum of human nontumorous liver tissue to liver cancer, and was associated with mTOR pathway activation. Activation of the mTOR pathway may be the mechanism through which HCC develops on the basis of NASH without intervening cirrhosis. \( ^{22} \) This has been proposed based on the findings of animal and immuno-

---

**Table 1. mTOR Inhibitors Clinically Studied in Malignancies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mTOR Inhibitor</th>
<th>Mechanism of Action</th>
<th>FDA-Approved Indications in Clinical Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sirolimus</td>
<td>Allosteric mTOR inhibitor</td>
<td>Renal transplantation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drug-eluting stents for coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everolimus</td>
<td>Allosteric mTOR inhibitor</td>
<td>Advanced neuroendocrine tumors of pancreatic origin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Advanced hormone receptor-positive HER2-negative breast cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Advanced renal cell carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Renal angiomyolipoma and subependymal giant cell astrocytoma associated with tuberous sclerosis complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temsirolimus</td>
<td>Allosteric mTOR inhibitor</td>
<td>Advanced renal cell carcinoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: FDA, US Food and Drug Administration.
with advanced HCC was closed by the data safety committee due to excess toxicity, particularly dose-limiting thrombocytopenia.\textsuperscript{28} This adverse event was likely due to use of the maximal dose of temsirolimus without an attempt to dose the drug by determining serum levels.\textsuperscript{28} The efficacy of mTOR inhibition has been limited, most likely because the inhibitors studied bind to mTORC1 solely. This allows for an escape mechanism through mTORC2, with maintained cancer cell survival. This prompted the development of active site mTOR inhibitors, which exert dual inhibition of mTORC1 and mTORC2 with striking antiproliferative effects. A multinational phase I/II trial of the active-site mTOR inhibitor AZD8055 in Asian patients with advanced HCC and mild to moderate hepatic impairment has just been completed, and results are pending (ClinicalTrials.gov registry no. NCT00999882). Other active site mTOR inhibitors, including PI-103 and PKI-587, have been tested in combination with sorafenib on \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} mouse models of HCC with success.\textsuperscript{29} A recent novel concept is that the ratio of eIF4E to 4E-BP in cancer cells affects response to active site mTOR inhibitors, with a higher ratio resulting in greater resistance to these agents.\textsuperscript{30} The dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitors, which theoretically could provide more potent suppression of the mTOR pathway through additional inhibition of PI3K upstream of mTOR, are also being investigated in HCC. NVP-BEZ235 is such a dual inhibitor; it inhibits PI3K at its ATP-binding domain in addition to preventing the catalytic activity of mTORC1 and mTORC2 complexes. This compound was shown to significantly affect HCC cell proliferation \textit{in vitro} and diminish tumor volume in a dose-dependent manner \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{31} This dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor has also been shown to act synergistically with the catalytic active site inhibitor everolimus in suppressing HCC cell proliferation, with this combination being much more potent than either agent alone.\textsuperscript{32} This was attributed to the suppression of phosphorylation of Akt and 4E-BP by both mTORC1 and mTORC2. This potent suppression is in contrast to the weaker effect of sirolimus, where inhibition of the mTORC1/S6K1 negative feedback loop activates the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway to a certain extent.\textsuperscript{33}

A limitation of mTOR inhibitors in clinical trials has been their significant side effect profile (Fig. 4). mTOR inhibitors are associated with certain side effects commonly associated with other immunosuppressants (eg, mucositis, rash, anemia, and thrombocytopenia). Other unique side effects (eg, hyperlipidemia,
hyperglycemia, and hypophosphatemia) occur as a result of the metabolic impact of inhibiting the mTOR pathway.34

mTOR inhibitors have also been used as immunosuppression after liver transplantation for HCC to prevent recurrence.35 The theory behind using an mTOR inhibitor is its additional role as adjuvant chemotherapy, whereby circulating HCC cells that have engrafted into the newly transplanted liver or extrahepatic sites could be quenched. However, the evidence supporting mTOR inhibitors in this context remains quite limited, given that there is a lack of randomized double-blinded trials of sirolimus as immunosuppression following liver transplantation for HCC. There are likely two reasons for this. First, the recurrence rate of HCC after liver transplantation is low enough that it would be difficult to demonstrate a significant difference in recurrence rates within a randomized trial. Second, sirolimus is associated with significant intolerable side effects for patients, which has historically led to significant study dropout. No retrospective studies thus far have managed to look at immunosuppression involving only mTOR inhibitors, because of side effects. Nonetheless, a meta-analysis of five retrospective studies, wherein sirolimus-based immunosuppression was used following liver transplantation for HCC, revealed that this preventive strategy resulted in decreased posttransplantation cancer recurrence (odds ratio [OR], 0.42; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.21-0.83) compared with sirolimus-free regimens.36 Additionally, patient survival was significantly improved at 1 year (OR, 4.53; 95% CI, 2.31-8.89), 3 years (OR, 1.97; 95% CI, 1.29-3.00), and 5 years (OR, 2.47; 95% CI, 1.72-3.55) among liver transplant recipients on sirolimus. There is currently an ongoing prospective randomized, open-labeled, trial entitled the Silver study (ClinicalTrials.gov registry no. NCT00355862) comparing sirolimus-containing versus mTOR inhibitor–free immunosuppression in patients undergoing liver transplantation for HCC. This study commenced in 2008, with a 3-year enrollment period and a subsequent 5-year follow-up period, and will hopefully provide a more conclusive answer as to whether sirolimus offers decreased risk of HCC recurrence and improved survival. This trial includes patients whose explants are found to have tumors beyond Milan criteria. In the context of diagnosed HCC recurrence after transplantation, a retrospective uncontrolled study of 31 patients in whom immunosuppression was switched to an mTOR inhibitor and sorafenib was added subsequent to diagnosis of recurrent HCC suggested that this combination may be effective.37 There was an overall response rate to this combination therapy of 3.8% according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, with stabilization of the disease in 50% of patients.

A promising adjunct therapy that affects the mTOR pathway is metformin, a biguanide medication commonly prescribed to patients who have diabetes. It is known to inhibit the mTOR pathway via two mechanisms: (1) inhibition of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, which activates AMPK, thereby resulting in mTOR pathway inhibition, and (2) decreased serum glycemia, which inhibits insulin growth factor receptor, thereby preventing downstream mTOR pathway activation in insulin-responsive cancers.38 Retrospective studies have suggested that metformin prevents development of HCC among patients with diabetes39 and those with chronic liver disease.40 Metformin has been found to inhibit DEN-induced hepatocarcinogenesis in vivo by affecting lipogenesis,41 and to induce apoptosis of HCC cells in vitro, although the underlying mechanism is unclear.42,43

Targeting microRNAs (miRNAs) that regulate mTOR gene expression is another novel approach that is being developed for cancer therapy. miR-99a/100, which targets the 3′ untranslated region of mTOR in a posttranscriptional manner, has been shown to induce apoptosis in renal cell carcinoma.44 miR-221 acts as a proto-oncogene in HCC by targeting a protein that modulates the mTOR pathway.45 A recent study of the miRNA transcriptome in cancer cells subjected to long-term treatment with sirolimus demonstrated that resistance to sirolimus was mediated by up-regulation of pro-oncogenic miRNAs and down-regulation of tumor suppressor miRNAs.46 This phenomenon was further confirmed when sensitivity to sirolimus was re-established with the introduction of inhibitors of these miRNAs.

The mTOR Pathway in Cholangiocarcinoma

Cholangiocarcinoma is a rare type of primary liver cancer arising within the biliary tree. In the Western world, it arises most commonly in the context of primary sclerosing cholangitis. Cholangiocarcinoma tumors are heterogeneous in terms of their disease behavior and progression and are categorized based on their anatomic location: intrahepatic, perihilar and extrahepatic, with perihilar tumors comprising 50% of cholangiocarcinomas. The approach to management currently varies based on anatomic location.

The evidence implicating the mTOR pathway in the development of cholangiocarcinoma is quite...
limited. A study of comparative genomic hybridization of DNA extracted from 32 cholangiocarcinoma tumors identified copy number gains in various genes along the mTOR pathway.47 There were frequent gains in genes including mTOR, VEGF receptor, platelet-derived growth factor, and epidermal growth factor receptor, the latter three of which are receptors that activate the mTOR pathway. An in vivo mouse model with liver-specific targeted disruption of PTEN and SMAD4 was shown to induce development of hyperplastic foci within the bile ducts exclusively.48 Cholangiocarcinoma tumors progressed with stepwise histopathological changes, with increased levels of AKT and mTOR detected. Immunohistochemical evaluation of 101 intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas revealed that overexpression of phosphorylated mTOR was significantly associated with well- to moderately differentiated tumors, lack of metastases, and better survival.49 In contrast, in a tissue microarray study of 221 extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma tumors, the subset of patients with tumors in which the mTOR pathway was activated had increased tumor depth invasion and staging, leading to decreased survival.50 Another similar study of 77 intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas found that high expression of phosphorylated 4E-BP1 (which would result in release of eIF4E and increased translation of oncogenes such as VEGF and c-myc) was independently predictive of poor prognosis.51 Thus, one can deduce that the mTOR pathway is involved either directly or indirectly in cholangiocarcinoma tumorigenesis, although its biological significance in initiating and promoting tumor progression remains ambiguous. Additionally, sirolimus inhibited growth of cholangiocarcinoma cell lines in a dose-dependent manner in vitro.52 In a prospective pilot study with a single treatment arm that included nine patients with advanced cholangiocarcinoma, sirolimus induced temporary par- rement arm that included nine patients with advanced plastic foci within the bile ducts exclusively.48 Cholan- SMAD4 was shown to induce development of hyper- vate the mTOR pathway. An in vivo mouse model with liver-specific targeted disruption of PTEN and SMAD4 was shown to induce development of hyperplastic foci within the bile ducts exclusively.48 Cholangiocarcinoma tumors progressed with stepwise histopathological changes, with increased levels of AKT and mTOR detected. Immunohistochemical evaluation of 101 intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas revealed that overexpression of phosphorylated mTOR was significantly associated with well- to moderately differentiated tumors, lack of metastases, and better survival.49 In contrast, in a tissue microarray study of 221 extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma tumors, the subset of patients with tumors in which the mTOR pathway was activated had increased tumor depth invasion and staging, leading to decreased survival.50 Another similar study of 77 intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas found that high expression of phosphorylated 4E-BP1 (which would result in release of eIF4E and increased translation of oncogenes such as VEGF and c-myc) was independently predictive of poor prognosis.51 Thus, one can deduce that the mTOR pathway is involved either directly or indirectly in cholangiocarcinoma tumorigenesis, although its biological significance in initiating and promoting tumor progression remains ambiguous. Additionally, sirolimus inhibited growth of cholangiocarcinoma cell lines in a dose-dependent manner in vitro.52 In a prospective pilot study with a single treatment arm that included nine patients with advanced cholangiocarcinoma, sirolimus induced temporary partial remission or stabilization of disease.53 Therefore, the data on the mTOR pathway in cholangiocarcinoma, although valuable, is relatively sparse and merits further investigation.

mTOR Pathway in Hepatoblastoma

Hepatoblastoma is the most common primary malignant liver tumor in childhood. Immunohistochemical staining of hepatoblastoma tumors demonstrated up-regulation of the mTOR pathway, with phosphorylated Akt and mTOR being highly expressed.54 Inhibition of PI3K upstream of mTOR affected hepatoblastoma cell growth in vitro through induction of apoptosis. Sirolimus has also been tested in vitro and

in vivo in hepatoblastoma with a marked dose-depend- ent reduction in tumor growth.55 These data suggest that the mTOR pathway could serve as a therapeutic target in hepatoblastoma, although this has not yet been established in clinical practice.

Conclusion

The mTOR pathway governs a multitude of metabolic processes essential for cell proliferation and survival and is up-regulated in hepatobiliary malignancies. There is accumulating evidence of the efficacy of mTOR inhibition as a chemotherapeutic strategy for HCC. mTOR inhibition is a plausible strategy in cholangiocarcinoma and hepatoblastoma, given preliminary evidence of mTOR up-regulation. Future chemotherapeutic strategies in hepatic malignancies will likely involve the combination of an mTOR inhibitor with agents targeting complementary pathways in order to extend recurrence-free survival.
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